## **Table of Contents**

Declaration	1			
Acknowledgments	ii			
Summary	iv			
Table of Contents	V			
List of Tables	V			
List of Figures				
List of Appendices	X			
Introduction				
Material and methods				
i. Sampling, identification and preservation of fish				
ii. Analytical procedures for allozyme electrophoresisiii. Analytical procedures for restriction fragment length polymorphism's				
(RFLP's) of mtDNA				
Results				
i. Identification with phenotypic keys				
ii. Allozyme electrophoresis				
a. General description of alleles and loci				
b. Estimates of alleles per loci, proportion of variant and				
polymorphic loci and heterozygosity				
c. Estimates of genetic distances and phylogenetic trees				
d. Inbreeding coefficients				
e. Allozymes and different size classes				
iii. RFLP's of total mtDNA				
a. Restriction fragment morphs and divergence estimates				
Discussion				
i. Review and evaluation of results on allozyme electrophoresis				
ii. Review and evaluation of results on RFLP's of mtDNA				
iii. General Discussion				
References				
Appendices				

## List of Tables

Table 1-	Running conditions for allozyme electrophoresis
Table 2-	Tissue location of enzymes25
Table 3-	a) Allele frequency distribution of Mugil cephalus and Mugil27
	georgii populations along the east Australian coast and from
	Sri Lanka.
	b) Allele frequency distribution of Liza argentea, Myxus31
	elongatus and Aldrichetta forsteri populations
	along the east Australian coast.
Table 4(1-9	9)-Observed and expected genotypic distributions and sample34
	sizes for different populations of Mugil cephalus along the
	eastern Australian waters and Sri Lanka with sample set
	and pooled data tested for Hardy Weinberg equilibrium
	for different loci.
Table 4(10	)- Observed and expected genotypic distribution for different43
	allozymes for the population of Mugil georgii from Mooloolaba,
	with polymorphic loci tested for Hardy Weinberg equilibrium.
Table 5-	Observed and expected genotypic distributions and sample
	sizes for different populations of Liza argentea along the46
	eastern Australian waters with sample set and pooled data
•	tested for Hardy Weinberg equilibrium for different loci.
Table 6-	Observed and expected genotypic distributions and sample49
	sizes for different populations of Myxus elongatus along the
	eastern Australian waters with sample set and pooled data
	tested for Hardy Weinberg equilibrium for different loci.
Table 7-	Observed and expected genotypic distributions and sample52
	sizes for different populations of Aldrichetta forsteri along

	the eastern Australian waters with sample set and pooled data
	tested for Hardy Weinberg equilibrium for different loci.
Table 8(1)-	Nei's genetic distance estimated between different mullet64
	populations using PHYLIP computer package.
Table 8(2)-	Cavalli-Sforza's Chord distance estimated between different65
	mullet populations using PHYLIP computer package.
Table 8(3)-	Reynolds, Weir and Cockerham's genetic distance estimated66
	between different mullet populations using PHYLIP computer
	package.
Table 9-	Genetic distance estimated between Mugil cephalus67
	populations from Burrum Heads and Narrabeen
	using 24 loci compared with 19 loci.
Table 10-	(1) Estimates of F <sub>st</sub> on polymorphic and variant loci of Mugil78
	cephalus populations.
	(2) Estimates of F <sub>st</sub> on polymorphic and variant loci of Liza79
	argentea populations.
	(3) Estimates of $F_{st}$ on polymorphic and variant loci of $Myxus79$
	elongatus populations.
	(4) Estimates of F <sub>st</sub> on polymorphic and variant loci of
	Aldrichetta forsteri populations.
Table 11-	Restriction fragment lengths (bp) identified from different82
M	Jugil cephalus populations from Sri Lanka and eastern
A	ustralia together with some restriction fragment lengths (bp)
	for Mugil georgii from Mooloolaba in eastern Australia.
Table 12-	Restriction phenotypes of 3 mtDNA clones of Mugil85
	cephalus from Sri Lanka and Australia and 2 clones of
•	Mugil georgii from Mooloolaba in eastern Australia.
Table 13-	A summary of the analysis of inter and intra population87
	variation of restriction fragment data of Mugil cephalus

•

	populations from eastern Australia and Sri Lanka.
Table 14-	Allele distribution in each species of mullet for the different9
lo	ci studied.
Table 15-	Within species, percent sequence divergence estimates112
	based on data derived from restriction endonuclease analysis
	of mitochondrial DNA.
Table 16-	A comparison of sequence divergence estimates derived113
	from three mitochondrial methods.
Table 17-	Within genus, mean percent inter specific sequence
	divergence estimates based on data derived from
	restriction endonuclease analysis of mitochondrial DNA

## List of Figures

Fig. 1(a)- A sketch of the sampling locations in the Indian and Pacific
Oceans11
Fig.1(b)- A detailed map showing the sampling locations from
Australia12
Fig. 2(1)-The phylogenetic tree derived through Fitch- Margoliash
method with contemporary tips on genetic distances
estimated from Nei (1972) on different mullet populations69
Fig. 2(2)-The phylogenetic tree derived through Fitch-Margoliash
method on genetic distances estimated from
Nei (1972) on different mullet populations70
Fig. 2(3)-The phylogenetic tree derived through Fitch- Margoliash
method with contemporary tips on genetic distances
estimated from Reynold, Weir and Cockerham (1983)
on different mullet populations71
Fig. 2(4)-The phylogenetic tree derived through Fitch- Margoliash
method on genetic distances estimated from Reynold, Weir
and Cockerham (1983) on different mullet populations72
Fig. 2(5)-The phylogenetic tree derived through Fitch- Margoliash
method with contemporary tips on genetic distances
estimated from Cavalli-Sforza (1967) on different
mullet populations73

	Fig. 2(6)-The phylogenetic tree derived through Fitch- Margoliash
	method on genetic distances estimated from Cavalli-Sforza
-	(1967) on different mullet populations74
	Fig. 3- Restriction fragments produced by Rsa1 digest on western
	Australian, eastern Australian and Sri Lankan samples of
	Mugil cephalus86
	Fig. 4- Restriction fragments produced by EcoR1 digest on eastern
	Australian and Sri Lankan samples of Mugil cephalus89
	Fig. 5- Restriction fragments produced by Sst1 digest on eastern
	Australian and Sri Lankan samples of Mugil cephalus90
	Fig. 6- Map showing some current patterns of the Indian Ocean.
	(From Brown <i>et al</i> 1989)109

•

•

•

## List of Appendices

Appendix 1 -	CTAB mini preparation for isolating DNA from tissue
Appendix 2 -	Buffers and Stock solutions
Appendix 3 -	Techniques for digestion, electrophoresis and blotting 143
	of DNA.
Appendix 4 -	Techniques for hybridisation 146
Appendix 5 - F	Protocol for extraction of mtDNA from liver of
Λ	Augil cephalus.
Appendix 6 -	Recipes for probe labelling 150
Appendix 7 -	Procedures for washing and exposing membranes 152
Appendix 8 -	Procedure for developing films 154
Appendix 9 -	Divergence times estimated on dendogram derived from 155
	Nei's (1972) genetic distance and KITSCH option
	on PHYLIP (1991) software package.
Appendix 10-	Dendogram drawn on Nei's (1972) genetic distance 156
Appendix 11-	Divergence times estimated on dendogram derived from 157
	Reynolds et al (1983) genetic distance and KITSCH
	option on PHYLIP (1991) software package.
Appendix 12-	Dendogram drawn on Reynolds et al (1983) genetic 158
	distance.
Appendix 13-	Divergence times estimated on dendogram derived from 159
	Cavalli-Sforza et al (1967) genetic distance and KITSCH
	option on PHYLIP (1991) software package.
Appendix 14-	- Dendogram drawn on Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards160
	(1967) genetic distance.